Utility of Large Language Models to Quantify Diagnostic Delays in Systemic Mastocytosis: A Real-World Study

Cecilia Arana Yi,¹ Hunter Mills,² Syed Arsalan Ahmed Naqvi,¹ Muhammad Ali Khan,¹ Umair Ayub,¹ Ahmed Ibrahim,¹ Shadera Slatter,² Lisa Sproat,¹ Nandita Khera,¹ Kate Freeman,³ John Lewis,³ Animesh Pardanani,⁴ Ayalew Tefferi,⁴ Michelle Elliot,⁴ Aref Al-Kali,⁴ Thanai Pongdee,⁵ Joseph Butterfield,⁵ Candido Rivera,⁶ Ewa Wysokinska,⁶ Daniel Shaheen,⁷ Irbaz Bin Riaz,¹ Vivek A. Rudrapatna⁸

¹Division of Hematology, Mayo Clinic, Phoenix, AZ; ⁴Division of Hematology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN; ⁵Division of Allergic Diseases, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN; ⁵Division of Allergic Disease, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN; ⁵Division of Allergic ⁶Division of Hematology, Mayo Clinic, Jacksonville, FL; ⁷Blueprint Medicines Corporation, Cambridge, MA; ⁸Division of Gastroenterology, Department of Medicine University of California, San Francisco, CA, USA

Introduction

- Systemic mastocytosis (SM) is a rare clonal mast cell disorder driven by the *KIT* D816V mutation in ~95% of patients^{1–3}
- Historically, the prevalence of SM has been estimated at 1 in 10,000 people,^{4–6} although a recent study suggests that it could affect up to 1 in 5,000 people⁷
- SM is characterized by chronic, non-specific, and often debilitating symptoms that can significantly impact quality of life^{8–11}
- The heterogenous and non-specific nature of symptoms frequently results in significant diagnostic delays, with an average delay of approximately 6 years from symptom onset⁸
- Large language models (LLMs) can assist in reducing diagnostic delays by detecting SM-related symptoms in electronic health records, enabling earlier identification and intervention¹²

Methods

- Patients with SM were identified by the International Classification of Diseases (ICD) codes at the Mayo Clinic from 2017 onwards
- The following ICD codes were used to identify the cases - ICD-10: D47.02, C96.21, C94.30, C96.22
- ICD-9: 202.60, 238.5
- The identified patients had their SM manually confirmed by a hematologis (SM expert) using chart review
- The study was institutional review board–approved at the University of California San Francisco (#22-37116) and at the Mayo Clinic (#22-007433)
- Eligible patients with a manually confirmed diagnosis of SM were randomly sampled into development and test sets for iterative prompt engineering and evaluation, respectively
- The state-of-the-art LLM, generative pretrained transformer (GPT)-4, was used to extract symptoms related to SM from clinical notes prior to the date of diagnosis
- A zero-shot structured prompt was used to call the GPT-4 LLM
- The LLM-based symptom assessment was benchmarked against the manually curated variables for accuracy
- Median time from each preceding symptom to disease diagnosis was computed separately for LLM-based as well as the manual approach using the Kaplan-Meier method

Results

Baseline characteristics

- A total of 301 patients with 560 eligible clinical notes were included in this study
- The development set included 5 patients with 53 clinical notes, and the test set included 296 patients with 507 clinical notes
- The LLM-based approach identified SM-related symptoms in 301 patients (Table 1)
- Diagnosis and symptom details, and prior to SM diagnosis medication use are presented in **Table 2** and **Table 3**, respectively
- *KIT* D816V was detected in 62 of 78 (79%) patients tested - KIT D816V mutations were detected by allele-specific droplet digital polymerase chain reaction

Table 1. Baseline characterist

Characteristic

Gender, n (%)

Female

Male

Race, **n** (%)

White

Asian

Native American/Alaskan/Hawaiiar

Black/African American

Other

Unknown

Ethnicity, n (%)

Hispanic/Latino

Area Deprivation Index

National, median (IQR)

State, median (IQR)

Median driving distance to Mayo miles (IQR)

Patients with insurance, n (%)

Commercial

Medicare

Medicaid

Self-pay or other

Unknown

Age at the time of diagnosis, median (IQR)

Year of SM diagnosis, median (IQ

Patients with SM diagnosis, n (%)

Before 2020

After 2020 (COVID-19 era)

IQR, interquartile range; SM, systemic mastocytosis.

Performance for symptom extraction

- SM-related symptoms from clinical notes, with accuracy of 100% and 99% for the development and test external sets, respectively
- from the onset of symptoms to definitive diagnosis
- The manual approach demonstrated a median time of 1.7 years from the onset of symptoms to definitive diagnosis (**Figure 1**)

CS				
	Overall (N=301)			
	172 (57)			
	129 (43)			
	277 (92)			
	0			
an	3 (1)			
	5 (2)			
	5 (2)			
	11 (4)			
	13 (4)			
	40.0(20.0-00.0)			
Clinic	5.0 (5.0-0.0)			
Chinc,	161.9 (72.1–352.7)			
	140 (47)			
	39 (13)			
	0			
	0			
	122 (41)			
	57.3 (46.7–68.0)			
R)	2021 (2019–2023)			
)				
	115 (38)			
	186 (62)			

The LLM-based approach demonstrated high accuracy in detecting • The LLM-based approach demonstrated a median time of 1.8 years

Fable 2. Diagnosis and symptom details		Table 3. Medication use prior to diagnosis of SM	
/ariable	Overall (N=301)	Medication	Overall
ype of SM diagnosis		Cromolyn	7 (
Indolent SM	230 (76)	Montelukast	8 (
Smoldering SM	7 (2)	Omalizumab	3 (
	1 (2)	Interferon	1 (<
Aggressive SM	18 (6)	Tyrosine kinase inhibitors	
SM with associated hematologic neoplasm	40 (13)	Avapritinib	1 (<
Mast cell leukemia	4 (1)	Imatinib	1 (<
Mast cell sarcoma	2 (1)	H1 antihistamines	
Not otherwise specified	0	Diphenhydramine	17 (
	~	Doxepin	7 (2
Jiagnostic Journey		Fexofenadine	31 (*
Dermatological symptoms prior to diagnosis	188 (63)	Ketotifen	1 (<
Preceding cutaneous mastocytosis	39 (13)	Loratadine	36 (*
Tested for <i>KIT</i> D816V	78 (26)	H2 antihistamines	
Positive for <i>KIT</i> D816V	62 (21)	Cimetidine	4 (*
Elevated corum truntage (>11 E pg/ml)	14(5)	Famotidine	20 (
	14 (5)	Corticosteroids	
Symptom history		Budesonide	14 (
Anaphylaxis	38 (13)	Dexamethasone	15 (
	91 (30)	Hydrocortisone	11 (
Lightheadedness, syncope/fainting	66 (22)	Prednisone	8 (3
Skin symptoms ^a	124 (41)	Methylprednisolone	5 (2
Gastrointestinal symptoms [®]	150 (50)	Fludrocortisone	3 (*
Neuropsychiatric symptoms ^c	110 (37)	Figuro 1 Kaplan Major-a	urves showing time from
Cardiovascular symptoms ^a	33 (11)	initial symptom onset to	definitive SM diagnosis wit
Pulmonary symptoms ^e	71 (24)	symptom identification	
Musculoskeletal symptoms ^f	113 (38)	1.00 -	
Nasal/throat symptoms ^g	78 (26)		LLM-derived symte

Iushing, itching, and hives. ^bPain, diarrhea, nausea, vomiting, bloating, and reflux. ^cHeadache, brain fog, nitive dysfunction, anxiety, depression, and inability to concentrate. dPalpitations, chest pain, and blood pressure instability. ^eCough, wheezing, and shortness of breath. ^fBone/muscle pain, osteopenia, osteoporosis, and osteosclerosis. ⁹Congestion, throat itching, and swelling

Predictors of diagnostic delays

- Univariate regression analyses were performed to assess predictors of diagnostic delays
- Patients >50 years of age, White race, area deprivation index 28– 68 (interquartile range) vs <28 (lower quartile), and shorter driving distance were more likely to experience diagnostic delays (**Table 4**)
- Patients without anaphylaxis, fatigue, or dermatologic, nasal/throat (upper respiratory), pulmonary (lower respiratory), or abdominal symptoms were more likely to experience diagnostic delays than those with these symptoms



LLM, large language model; SM, systemic mastocytosis.

Table 4. Univariate regression analyses highlighting significant predictors of diagnostic delays

Delta (years)	P-value	n
2.4	0.004	189
0.32	0.70	172
4.1	0.03	277
-4.9	0.12	13
7.2	1.8x10 ⁻⁴	105
-0.9	0.53	50
-3.7	1.4x10 ⁻⁴	146
-6.3	3.4x10 ⁻⁸	73
-1.74	0.04	78
-1.37	0.09	113
-1.87	0.04	71
-1.02	0.41	33
-2.62	0.002	124
-3.87	7x10-4	38
-3.11	2x10-4	91
-0.62	0.51	66
-2.42	0.003	118
-0.97	0.24	110
	Delta (years) 2.4 0.32 4.1 -4.9 7.2 -0.9 -3.7 -6.3 -1.74 -1.37 -1.87 -1.87 -1.87 -1.87 -1.87 -1.02 -2.62 -3.87 -3.11 -0.62 -2.42 -0.97	Delta (years)P-value 2.4 0.004 0.32 0.70 4.1 0.03 -4.9 0.12 7.2 $1.8x10^{-4}$ -0.9 0.53 -3.7 $1.4x10^{-4}$ -6.3 $3.4x10^{-8}$ -1.74 0.04 -1.37 0.09 -1.87 0.04 -1.87 0.04 -1.02 0.41 -2.62 0.002 -3.87 $7x10^{-4}$ -0.62 0.51 -2.42 0.003 -0.97 0.24

"All symptom history comparisons are *versus* the group of patients without a history of the corresponding symptor ADI, area deprivation index; LQ, lower quartile; UQ, upper quartile.

Table 5. Multivariate regression a significant predictors of diagnos

Variable

Demographic details Age: >50 years (*vs* age ≤50 years) Gender: female (*vs* male) Race: White (vs non-White) Ethnicity: Hispanic/Latino (vs non-Hispan ADI: IQR (vs LQ) ADI: UQ (vs LQ) Driving distance from Mayo: IQR (vs I Driving distance from Mayo: UQ (vs LC Symptom history^a Nasal/throat symptoms Musculoskeletal symptoms Pulmonary symptoms Cardiovascular symptoms Dermatologic symptoms Anaphylaxis Fatigue Lightheadedness Abdominal symptoms Neuropsychiatric symptoms)

^aAll symptom history comparisons are *versus* the aroup of patients without a history of the corresponding syr



nalyses highlighting tic delays							
	Delta (years)	P-value	Ν				
	-0.3	0.8	189				
	0.6	0.6	172				
	0.54	0.92	277				
nic/Latino)	-12.26	0.02	13				
	8.2	0.03	105				
	-0.57	0.71	50				
LQ)	0.55	0.76	146				
_Q)	-5.1	0.02	73				
	0.05	0.97	78				
	-1.37	0.09	113				
	-4.1	0.02	71				
	-1.25	0.57	33				
	-2.08	0.13	124				
	-3.65	0.13	38				
	-1.09	0.50	91				
	-1.06	0.54	66				
	-1.02	0.47	118				
	2.23	0.14	110				

Predictors of diagnostic delays from multivariate regression analyses

- Multivariate regression analyses were performed to assess predictors of diagnostic delays (**Table 5**)
- Patients of non-Hispanic/Latino ethnicity and those with a driving distance less than 72.1 miles (lower quartile) versus more than 352.7 miles (upper quartile) were more likely to experience diagnostic delays
- Patients without pulmonary symptoms were more likely to experience diagnostic delays than those with these symptoms

Conclusions

- We identified a cohort of patients with SM, a clonal disorder of mast cells characterized by non-specific symptoms, and characterized their diagnostic journey
- Real-world studies indicate SM diagnostic delays in the care continuum^{8,12}
- The estimated median diagnosis time per LLM was 1.8 years, similar to that seen with a manual approach in this data set (median 1.7 years), but shorter than the median time of 6 years from symptom onset to diagnosis that has been reported previously⁸; this may be due to these patients being evaluated at an SM center of excellence (COE)
- We uncovered non-Hispanic/Latino ethnicity and shorter distance from the clinic as possible predictors of delayed diagnosis time
- Prior to diagnosis, dermatological symptoms were the most frequent, followed by gastrointestinal, musculoskeletal, neuropsychological, and allergic manifestations
- Per the multivariate analysis, the absence of pulmonary symptoms was the most salient predictor of longer diagnostic delays
- The current LLM-based ascertainment of SM-related symptoms is comparable with symptom assessment by humans at an SM COE. Continued refinement of the model may provide an opportunity to further shorten the time to diagnosis beyond provider symptom recognition of SM

References

1. Kristensen T et al. Am J Hematol. 2014;89:493–498; 2. Ungerstedt J et al. Cancers. 2022;14 :3942; 3. Garcia-Montero AC et al. Blood. 2006;108:2366–2372; 4. Brockow K. Immunol Allergy Clin North Am. 2014;34:283–295; 5. Cohen SS et al. Br J Haematol. 2014;166:521–528; 6. van Doormaal JJ et al. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2013;131:1429–1431.e1421; 7. Bergstrom A et al. Acta Oncol. 2024;63:44–50; 8. Mesa RA et al. Cancer. 2022;128:3691–3699; 9. Hermine O et al. PLoS One 2008;3:e2266; 10. van Anrooij B et al. Allergy. 2016;71:1585–1593; 11. Akin C et al. J Allergy Clin *Immunol.* 2022;149:1912–1918; 12. Yang X et al. *Chin Med J* (Engl). 2025;138:130–142

Acknowledgements

Editorial support under the direction of the authors was provided by Rachel O'Meara, PhD of Prime (Knutsford, UK). Writing and editorial support provided by Prime was funded by Blueprint Medicines Corporation

Conflicts of interest / Disclosures

Dr Yi has received consulting fees from Blueprint Medicines Corporation, research funding from Blueprint Medicines Corporation, and has participated in the speakers' bureau for Curio Science.

For all author disclosures, please contact medinfo@blueprintmedicines.com



